Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/469,206	PUGACZEWSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MELVIN H. POLLACK	2445
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>abandonment</u>		
(1) <u>MELVIN H. POLLACK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chad King (44,187)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 February 2011</u>	Time: <u>2:00 PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed to examiner's amendment adding "non-transitory" to computer claim to solve 101 issue due to changing 101 standards since the rehearing.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Melvin H Pollack/ Examiner, Art Unit 2445	Applicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)
		ļ